

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): [Click here to enter a date.](#)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Piedmont Field Office (Morrow, GA)-Savannah District; State Road and Tollway Authority Proposed Park and Ride Lot at Jonesboro Road (PI No. 2018-151-01); Corps file number 2017-00970

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Georgia County/parish/borough: Henry County City: near City of McDonough
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.46111 °, Long. -84.19583 °
Universal Transverse Mercator: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Name of nearest waterbody: Crittle Creek (nearest TNW is South River)
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070103

- Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: [Click here to enter a date.](#)
 Field Determination. Date(s): May 31, 2018

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: See Attachment 1, Figures 1-6
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. See Attachment 2
 Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: See Figure 6
 USGS NHD data. See Figure 6
 USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: See Figure 1 (1:24,000, McDonough Quad)
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: See Figure 5 (Web Soil Survey – Accessed 10-6-17)
 National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS, 2017 (See Figure 4)
 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): [Click here to enter text.](#)
 FEMA/FIRM maps: See Figure 3
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: [Click here to enter text.](#) (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
 Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): See Figure 2
 or Other (Name & Date): See Attachment 3
 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 Applicable/supporting case law: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 Applicable/supporting scientific literature: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 Other information (please specify): [Click here to enter text.](#)

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: The entire review area consists of upland area and there are no jurisdictional waterbodies. There is one upland erosional feature within the review area; however, this feature is not connected to any downstream aquatic resource and is therefore not jurisdictional. The erosional feature has been historically diverted from a natural channel to an artificial feature north and outside of the project area. Wetland data points were taken at the end of the erosional upland feature outside of the project area to determine if there was a potential connection to an intermittent stream north and outside of the project area, and no wetland was identified (see wetland data forms in

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

Attachment 2). Attachment 2 also includes a figure that shows the location of the data points, as well as the end of the erosional upland feature in relation to the intermittent stream north and outside of the project area. The project area was visited on 5-31-18 by Atkins staff Josh Jamell and USACE staff Stacy Marshall. The USACE determined that there was an upland erosional feature with no connection to a downstream waterway within the project area (email dated 6-8-18, see Attachment 4). In addition, rooted plants and moss growth were observed any many portions of the upland erosional feature, indicating minimal flow (see photos in Attachment 3). No other features were observed within the project area.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

- 1 – Figures
- 2 – Wetland Data Sheets with Location Figure
- 3 – Photos
- 4 – Email Correspondence